## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 3 In Re Plum Baby Food Litigation, Case No. 4:21-cv-913-YGR 4 This document relates to: All Actions. 5 6 SARAH BROWN, on behalf of herself and all 7 others similarly situated, Case 4:21-cv-04953-YGR 8 Plaintiff, 9 v. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONSOLIDATE 10 PLUM, PBC, ACTIONS UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 42(a) 11 Defendant. \*\* AS AMENDED BY THE COURT \*\* 12 13 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Consolidation Order entered by this Court on May 3, 2021 in 14 this matter (the "Consolidated Action"), "[a]ny action subsequently filed, transferred or removed 15 to this Court that the Court determines arises out of the same or similar operative facts as the 16 Consolidated Action will be, with the Court's approval, consolidated with the Consolidated Action 17 for pre-trial purposes." (Dkt. No. 18). 18 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Sarah Brown filed her complaint in Brown v. Plum, PBC (Case No. 19 20 21-4953-YGR) on June 28, 2021 and by Order dated July 15, 2021, the Court deemed *Brown* related 21 to the Consolidated Action (Dkt. No. 77); 22 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Brown's claims arise out of the same set of operative facts and assert 23 similar legal claims against Defendant Plum, PBC ("Plum" or "Defendant"), with respect to heavy 24 metals and Plum's baby food products (the "Baby Foods"); 25 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Brown seeks, inter alia, injunctive relief, as well as monetary 26 27 damages; 28 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO CONSOLIDATE

Case No. 4:21-cv-913-YGR

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs in the Consolidated Action and Plaintiff Brown agree that consolidation of *Brown v. Plum, PBC* (Case No. 21-4953-YGR) with the Consolidated Action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a) is appropriate because they involve common questions of law and fact, arise from the same events, name the same Defendant, and will involve substantially the same discovery;

WHEREAS, Defendant consents to consolidation but preserves all defenses and arguments and all parties stipulate that the fact of stipulation will not be used as grounds against any such reserved defenses and arguments;

WHEREAS, consolidation under Rule 42(a) will eliminate duplicative discovery and the possibility of inconsistent rulings on class certification, Daubert motions, and other pretrial matters, and conserve judicial and party resources;

**NOW THEREFORE,** the parties through their respective counsel and subject to the Court's approval hereby stipulate that:

- 1. The *Brown v. Plum*, *PBC* (Case No. 21-4953-YGR) matter is consolidated with the Consolidated Action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a);
- 2. The deadlines and procedures applicable to the Consolidated Action apply in accordance with the Consolidation Order (Dkt. No. 18) and this Court's August 6, 2021 Order setting filing deadlines (Dkt. No. 81); and
  - 3. All further papers shall be filed in the Consolidated Action.

1	STIPULATED TO AND DATED this 10th day of August, 2021.	
2	LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN	TYCKO & ZAVAREEI LLP
3	P.L.L.P.	D /A : IM D :
4	By: s/ Rebecca A. Peterson	By: <u>s/ Annick M. Persinger</u> ANNICK M. PERSINGER (SBN #272996)
5	REBECCA A. PETERSON	1970 Broadway, Suite 1070
5	ROBERT K. SHELQUIST	Oakland, CA 94612
6	100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200	T: (510) 254-6808
7	Minneapolis, MN 55401 Telephone: (612) 339-6900	F: (202) 973-0950 Email: apersinger@tzlegal.com
0	Facsimile: (612) 339-0981	
8	E-mail: rkshelquist@locklaw.com	Attorneys for Sarah Brown
9	rapeterson@locklaw.com	
10		
11	LITE DEPALMA GREENBERG & AFANADOR, LLC	MAYER BROWN LLP
12	SUSANA CRUZ HODGE (pro hac vice)	By: s/ Dale J. Giali
13	JOSEPH J. DEPALMA (pro hac vice)	DALE J. GIALI (SBN 150382)
	570 Broad Street, Suite 1201	KERI E. BORDERS (SBN 194015)
14	Newark, NJ 07102 Telephone: (973) 623-3000	350 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-1503
15	E-mail: jdepalma@litedepalma.com	Telephone: (213) 229-9500
1.0	scruzhodge@litedepalma.com	Facsimile: (213) 576-8122
16	DI ' 496 II 4 ' C I I I Class Consol	dgiali@mayerbrown.com
17	Plaintiffs' Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel	kborders@mayerbrown.com
18		Attorneys for Defendants Plum, PBC and Campbell Soup Company
19		
20		
21		
22	Dated: August, 2021	
23		
24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	The Clerk of Court shall administratively close the <i>Brown v. Plum, PBC</i> (Case No. 21-	
26	4953-YGR) matter.	, na
27	Date: August 16, 2021	onne Gonzalez Rogers, U.S.D.J.
28		3
	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO CONSOLIDATE Case No. 4:21-cv-913-YGR	